

THE CYBER FUSION CENTER READINESS ASSESSMENT

A Practical Checklist to Evaluate
Your Organization's Preparedness
for Cyber Fusion Success



DRAFT
WHITE PAPER

Contents

Vision and Purpose	3
Governance and Authority.....	4
Success Metrics.....	4
Current Security Operations	4
IT/OT Integration	5
Cross-Functional Relationships.....	5
Visibility and Monitoring.....	5
Security Technology Stack	6
Infrastructure and Architecture.....	6
Current Talent	6
Talent Development	7
Staffing Strategy.....	7
Security Awareness and Mindset	7
Collaboration and Integration	8
Change Readiness	8
Budget and Financial Resources	8
Time and Capacity.....	9
Physical and Infrastructure Resources.....	9
Processes and Documentation	9
Operational Discipline.....	10
Integration and Coordination	10
Overall Readiness Levels.....	10
For All Organizations.....	12
If You're Highly Ready (80%+).....	12
If You Need Development (40-79%)	12
If You're Building Foundations (Below 40%).....	13
Remember: The Journey Is the Destination	13

Purpose

This Cyber Fusion Center Readiness Assessment helps organizations honestly evaluate their preparedness for implementing a Cyber Fusion Center. Building a CFC isn't about having perfect answers to every question. It's about understanding your starting point, identifying gaps, and creating a realistic path forward.

Be brutally honest in your self-assessment. The goal isn't to achieve a perfect score but to identify where you need to focus your preparation efforts. Remember: every successful Cyber Fusion Center started somewhere, and knowing your current reality is the first step toward improvement.

How to Use This Checklist

For each item, check the box if your organization can confidently answer 'yes' or has this capability in place. If you're unsure or the answer is 'partially,' leave it unchecked and make a note for follow-up.

After completing the assessment, review the scoring guidance at the end to understand your readiness level and recommend next steps.

1. Strategic Readiness

Before you can build a Cyber Fusion Center, you need strategic clarity and executive alignment. These foundational elements ensure your CFC initiative has the direction and support needed for success.

Vision and Purpose

- ☐ We have a clear, articulated vision for what our Cyber Fusion Center should achieve.
- ☐ Our security vision aligns with and supports broader business objectives.

- ☐ We can clearly articulate why a Cyber Fusion Center is necessary beyond 'everyone else is doing it'.
- ☐ Our executive leadership understands and supports the Cyber Fusion Center concept.
- ☐ We have identified specific business outcomes the CFC should enable.

Governance and Authority

- ☐ We have identified the executive sponsor for the Cyber Fusion Center initiative.
- ☐ Decision-making authority for security operations is clearly defined.
- ☐ We have a governance structure or steering committee in place.
- ☐ Cross-functional stakeholders (IT, OT, business units) are identified and engaged.
- ☐ We have processes for resolving conflicts between security and business priorities.

Success Metrics

- ☐ We have defined what 'success' looks like for our Cyber Fusion Center.
- ☐ Key performance indicators (KPIs) have been identified and agreed upon.
- ☐ We understand how we'll measure return on security investment (ROSI).
- ☐ Our success metrics connect security outcomes to business value.

2. Organizational Readiness

A Cyber Fusion Center doesn't operate in isolation, it requires organizational structures, clear roles, and cross-functional coordination. This section assesses whether your organization is structurally prepared for integrated security operations.

Current Security Operations

- ☐ We have an existing Security Operations Center (SOC) or equivalent function.
- ☐ Current security operations have documented processes and procedures.

- ☐ We have conducted a maturity assessment of our current security capabilities.
- ☐ We understand the gaps between current state and desired future state.
- ☐ Our security operations are consistently staffed (not dependent on a few key individuals).

IT/OT Integration

- ☐ We have identified all IT and OT environments that need security coverage.
- ☐ IT and OT security teams currently collaborate (even if informally).
- ☐ We understand the unique security challenges and constraints of OT environments.
- ☐ Network architecture supports appropriate IT/OT segmentation.
- ☐ We have processes for coordinating changes that affect both IT and OT.

Cross-Functional Relationships

- ☐ Security has established relationships with business continuity/disaster recovery teams.
- ☐ We have liaison relationships with risk management and compliance functions.
- ☐ Physical security and cybersecurity teams coordinate on relevant issues.
- ☐ Legal, privacy, and communications teams are engaged with security operations.
- ☐ We have processes for security to engage with business units on new initiatives.

3. Technical Readiness

Technology enables your Cyber Fusion Center but doesn't define it. This section evaluates whether you have the technical foundation and infrastructure necessary to support integrated security operations.

Visibility and Monitoring

- ☐ We have comprehensive asset inventory for both IT and OT environments.
- ☐ Security monitoring tools are deployed across critical systems.

- ☐ Log collection and aggregation is in place for key systems.
- ☐ Network visibility tools provide insight into both IT and OT traffic.
- ☐ We have visibility into cloud environments and services.

Security Technology Stack

- ☐ We have a SIEM or equivalent security analytics platform.
- ☐ Endpoint detection and response (EDR) tools are deployed.
- ☐ Vulnerability scanning and management tools are in place.
- ☐ We have threat intelligence capabilities (even if basic).
- ☐ Security tools can integrate or share data (APIs, data exports, etc.).

Infrastructure and Architecture

- ☐ Network architecture supports security monitoring without impacting operations.
- ☐ We have appropriate network segmentation between IT and OT.
- ☐ Security infrastructure is appropriately resilient (not a single point of failure).
- ☐ We have secure methods for collecting security data from OT environments.
- ☐ Documentation of network architecture and data flows exists.

4. People and Skills Readiness

Technology and processes are only as effective as the people who operate them. This section evaluates whether you have (or can develop) the human capabilities needed for a successful Cyber Fusion Center.

Current Talent

- ☐ We have security professionals with threat detection and analysis skills.
- ☐ Our team includes individuals with incident response experience.
- ☐ We have staff with both IT and OT security knowledge (or separate specialists).
- ☐ Security leadership has both technical depth and business acumen.
- ☐ Key positions are not dependent on single individuals (knowledge is shared).

Talent Development

- ☐ We have budget allocated for security training and professional development.
- ☐ A skills matrix or competency framework exists for security roles.
- ☐ Cross-training opportunities exist (or are planned) between IT and OT security.
- ☐ We have relationships with training providers, educational institutions, or certification programs.
- ☐ Career development paths exist to retain security talent.

Staffing Strategy

- ☐ We have identified staffing requirements for our target CFC operating model.
- ☐ A strategy exists for filling skill gaps (hiring, training, or managed services).
- ☐ We have realistic expectations about hiring timelines and salary requirements.
- ☐ Our employer brand and work environment can attract security talent.
- ☐ We've considered alternatives to 100% internal staffing (managed services, consultants).

5. Cultural Readiness

Culture determines whether your Cyber Fusion Center will thrive or struggle. This section evaluates organizational attitudes, behaviors, and mindsets that influence security effectiveness.

Security Awareness and Mindset

- ☐ Executive leadership demonstrates visible commitment to security.
- ☐ Security is viewed as an enabler rather than just a cost center.
- ☐ Employees across the organization understand their role in security.
- ☐ Security awareness training exists and is taken seriously.
- ☐ Business units are willing to engage with security (not just comply reluctantly).

Collaboration and Integration

- ☐ IT and OT teams demonstrate mutual respect and willingness to collaborate.
- ☐ Cross-functional projects have succeeded in the past.
- ☐ Organizational silos can be bridged (they exist but aren't insurmountable).
- ☐ There's appetite for new ways of working (not 'we've always done it this way').
- ☐ Security incidents are treated as learning opportunities, not blame opportunities.

Change Readiness

- ☐ The organization has successfully implemented major changes in the past.
- ☐ Change management capabilities exist (formal or informal).
- ☐ Stakeholders understand that implementing a CFC will require changes to current ways of working.
- ☐ Leadership is prepared to address resistance to change.
- ☐ We have realistic expectations about the time required for cultural transformation.

6. Resource Readiness

Building a Cyber Fusion Center requires investment—not just financially but also time, attention, and organizational capacity. This section evaluates whether adequate resources can be secured and sustained.

Budget and Financial Resources

- ☐ We have developed a realistic budget estimate for CFC implementation.
- ☐ Executive leadership has committed to appropriate funding levels.
- ☐ Budget includes both capital (technology) and operational (people) expenses.
- ☐ Multi-year funding is secured or has strong likelihood of approval.
- ☐ We understand the total cost of ownership (TCO), not just initial implementation costs.

Time and Capacity

- ☐ Key stakeholders have capacity to dedicate time to the CFC initiative.
- ☐ We have realistic timelines (12-24 months for full implementation).
- ☐ Program/project management resources are available to coordinate implementation.
- ☐ Competing initiatives won't completely overwhelm available capacity.
- ☐ Leadership understands this is a journey, not a quick fix.

Physical and Infrastructure Resources

- ☐ Physical space is available (or planned) for security operations.
- ☐ Infrastructure capacity exists to support additional security technologies.
- ☐ Necessary support functions (IT, facilities, procurement) are engaged.
- ☐ We have identified any required capital improvements (power, cooling, network).
- ☐ Remote/distributed operations capabilities are in place if needed.

7. Operational Readiness

A Cyber Fusion Center must operate reliably, day in and day out. This section evaluates your foundation for sustained operations, including processes, documentation, and operational discipline.

Processes and Documentation

- ☐ Current security operations have documented procedures.
- ☐ Incident response plans and playbooks exist.
- ☐ Escalation procedures are defined and tested.
- ☐ We have processes for continuous improvement based on lessons learned.
- ☐ Documentation is maintained and kept current (not just created once).

Operational Discipline

- ☐ Security operations run consistently (not dependent on heroics).
- ☐ Metrics are tracked and reviewed regularly.
- ☐ Change control processes exist and are followed.
- ☐ Shift handover procedures ensure continuity of operations.
- ☐ Quality assurance mechanisms exist (not just 'trust but verify').

Integration and Coordination

- ☐ Coordination mechanisms exist between security and other operational teams.
- ☐ Communication channels are established for routine and crisis situations.
- ☐ External partnerships (vendors, MSSPs, consultants) are managed effectively.
- ☐ We have tested our ability to coordinate during simulated incidents.
- ☐ Regulatory and compliance requirements are integrated into operations.

8. Scoring and Assessment Guidance

After completing the checklist, count the number of items you checked in each section. Use the guidance below to understand your readiness level and determine appropriate next steps.

Overall Readiness Levels

80-100% Checked (Strong Readiness): Your organization is well-positioned to begin implementing a Cyber Fusion Center. Focus on detailed planning and phased implementation. You have the foundational elements in place and should proceed with confidence while remaining realistic about the challenges ahead.

60-79% Checked (Moderate Readiness): You have many necessary elements but significant gaps remain. Identify your 2-3 biggest gaps and address them before full implementation. Consider a phased approach that builds capabilities incrementally while working on foundational gaps.

40-59% Checked (Development Needed): Substantial preparation work is required before CFC implementation. Focus on building fundamentals first, particularly in strategic alignment, current capabilities, and resource commitment. Consider a longer preparation phase (6-12 months) before full implementation begins.

Below 40% Checked (Foundation Building): Your organization needs significant foundational work before a Cyber Fusion Center is viable. This isn't a failure, it's valuable self-awareness. Focus on improving basic security capabilities, building executive support, and developing necessary infrastructure. Consider starting with enhanced SOC capabilities and working toward fusion center maturity over 18-24+ months.

9. Critical Success Factors

Regardless of your overall score, certain capabilities are particularly critical for CFC success. If you haven't checked these items, they should be your highest priorities:

- ☐ Executive support and sponsorship (from Strategic Readiness).
- ☐ Clear vision aligned with business objectives (from Strategic Readiness).
- ☐ Committed multi-year funding (from Resource Readiness).
- ☐ IT/OT collaboration willingness (from Cultural Readiness).
- ☐ Comprehensive asset visibility (from Technical Readiness).
- ☐ Security professionals with core skills (from People and Skills Readiness).

Reality Check: No organization starts with perfect scores across all dimensions. What matters is honest assessment, commitment to addressing gaps, and realistic planning. The most successful Cyber Fusion Centers weren't built by organizations that started with perfect readiness. They were built by organizations that understood their starting point and progressed methodically toward their goals.

10. Recommended Next Steps

Based on your assessment results, consider these next steps:

For All Organizations

- Share assessment results with key stakeholders and executive sponsors.
- Identify the 3-5 most critical gaps that need immediate attention.
- Develop a gap remediation plan with specific actions, owners, and timelines.
- Establish baseline metrics to track improvement over time.
- Plan to reassess readiness every 6 months during preparation phase.

If You're Highly Ready (80%+)

- Begin detailed implementation planning and phased roadmap development.
- Identify quick wins that can demonstrate value early in implementation.
- Form implementation team and governance structure.
- Begin vendor/technology evaluation for any missing capabilities.
- Develop detailed change management and communication plans.

If You Need Development (40-79%)

- Create a phased preparation roadmap addressing gaps before full CFC implementation.
- Focus first on critical success factors that are currently gaps.
- Consider starting with enhanced SOC capabilities while building toward full CFC.
- Engage external expertise (consultants, advisors) to accelerate capability development.
- Build executive support through targeted education and peer benchmarking.

If You're Building Foundations (Below 40%)

- Focus on establishing basic security operations capabilities first.
- Work on securing executive commitment and appropriate resource allocation.
- Develop a long-term (18-24+ month) evolution plan from current state to CFC.
- Consider managed services or consulting support to supplement internal capabilities.
- Build visibility into IT and OT assets and environments as foundational priority.

Remember: The Journey Is the Destination

Building a Cyber Fusion Center is a transformation journey, not a destination. The organizations that succeed aren't those that started with perfect readiness, they're the ones that assessed honestly, planned realistically, and improved continuously. Your journey starts with understanding where you are today.

PhishCloud's Cyber Fusion Center Strategies takes the guess-work out of building operational resilience. Visit [PhishCloud.Com](https://www.phishcloud.com) to learn more.

About PhishCloud

PhishCloud is a cybersecurity innovator redefining how organizations protect operational technology (OT) and information technology (IT) through its Cyber Fusion Center (CFC) Strategies. The CFC unites people, processes, and technology into a single, coordinated defense ecosystem that provides real-time visibility, cross-domain intelligence, and automated response across IT and OT environments.

Unlike traditional security operations centers that operate in silos, PhishCloud's Cyber Fusion approach enables collaboration between IT, OT, and human telemetry, turning fragmented alerts into actionable intelligence. The result is faster detection, smarter decisions, and resilient operations that stay secure without disruption.

PhishCloud empowers critical infrastructure operators to move beyond compliance and achieve true cyber resilience, protecting both the human layer and the industrial core with continuous visibility, AI-driven correlation, and consequence-focused defense.

For more information, visit <http://www.phishcloud.com/cyber-fusion-center/>.

Disclaimer. © Copyright 2025 by PhishCloud, Inc. All Rights Reserved. All product and company names herein may be trademarks of their respective owners. The information and content in this document is provided for informational purposes only and is provided "as is" without any warranty, either express or implied, including but not limited to the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. PhishCloud is not liable for any damages, including any consequential damages, of any kind that may result from the use of this document. The information is obtained from publicly available sources. Though reasonable effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data provided, PhishCloud makes no claim, promise, or guarantee about the completeness, accuracy, recency or adequacy of information and is not responsible for misprints, out-of-date information, or errors. PhishCloud makes no warranty, express or implied, and assumes no legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this document. If you believe there are any factual errors in this document, please contact us and we will review your concerns as soon as possible.

Reproducing, copying, or making adaptations, or compilation works based on this content without prior written authorization from PhishCloud, Inc., is prohibited by law.